DualAvatar: Robust Gaussian Avatar with Dual Representation

Jinsong Zhang

jinszhang@tju.edu.cn Tianjin University Tianjin, China

Yu-Kun Lai

yukun.lai@cs.cardiff.ac.uk Cardiff University Cardiff, United Kingdom

I-Chao Shen

jdilyshen@gmail.com The University of Tokyo Tokyo, Japan

Takeo Igarashi*

takeo@acm.org The University of Tokyo Tokyo, Japan

Jotaro Sakamiya

jotarosakamiya@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp The University of Tokyo Tokyo, Japan

Kun Li*

lik@tju.edu.cn Tianjin University Tianjin, China



Figure 1: Avatar reconstruction and animation results using 20 images. Compared with GART [Lei et al. 2024], for each subject, the first column shows the GS avatar in the canonical space, and the other two columns show the animation results.

ACM Reference Format:

1 Introduction

Creating 3D human avatars from monocular videos has significant potential value in virtual reality, gaming, and movie production. However, creating a robust 3D animatable avatar for novel poses with limited observations remains challenging. Recent works utilizing 3D Gaussian Splatting (3DGS) [Kerbl et al. 2023] have made significant progress in reconstructing high-quality avatars from a single video. Among them, GART (Gaussian Articulated Template Model) [Lei et al. 2024] models deformable geometry and appearance using dynamic 3D Gaussians explicitly. They achieve

SIGGRAPH Asia 2024, December 03–06, 2024, Tokyo, Japan

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06 https://doi.org/XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX state-of-the-art performance in monocular human reconstruction and novel view synthesis with real-time rendering. However, their method encounters difficulties in reconstructing a robust avatar for novel poses due to limited human poses observed in the training monocular video. As a result, their method generates unsatisfactory rendering results with artifacts (first row in Figure 1).

Another line of work adopts the mesh representation to reconstruct robust mesh avatars for novel poses. However, due to its limited resolution and fixed topology, the mesh avatar often has inaccurate geometry and blurry texture (second row in Figure 1).

To address these problems, we propose *DualAvatar*, a method for reconstructing a robust Gaussian Splatting (GS) avatar for novel poses from a single monocular video. Our method is based on the observation that while the mesh-based avatars may have low-quality appearance, they are capable of adapting to novel poses effectively. Therefore, during training, we propose to optimize two avatars concurrently using two different representations: a GS avatar and a mesh avatar. This allows us to refine the unseen regions and poses of the GS avatar, such as the armpits, with guidance from the mesh avatar. As a result, we can generate a robust GS avatar that can adapt to new poses (third row in Figure 1).

2 Method

Given a monocular video of a person rotating in an A-pose, our goal is to reconstruct a robust GS avatar that has fewer artifacts under unseen poses. Figure 2 shows the overview of our method. The

^{*}Corresponding author

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

SIGGRAPH Asia 2024, December 03-06, 2024, Tokyo, Japan



Figure 2: The overview of our method.

mesh avatar and the GS avatar are optimized in canonical space. With predicted pose parameters, we deform the avatars and render the outputs. By minimizing the distance between the rendered image and the target image, our DualAvatar can be optimized endto-end. During this process, we propose GS-guided reconstruction and mesh-guided refinement to obtain a robust GS avatar.

Avatar Representation. We adopt 2D GS [Huang et al. 2024] as our avatar representation and represent each Gaussian $g_i = \mu_i, r_i, s_i, c_i, o_i$ using its position, rotation, scale, color and opacity. The mesh avatar is parameterized using DMTet [Shen et al. 2021]. Specifically, the mesh avatar is derived from a tetrahedral mesh *TM* with *N* vertices and *M* tetrahedra. By employing a learnable signed distance field (SDF), we can compute the SDF value for each vertex in *TM* to obtain a triangle mesh avatar *MA* = (**V**, **F**), where **V** and **F** denote the positions of the vertices and the faces. To render color images, we predict the color, *i.e.*, RGB value, **V**_c = MLP_C(**V**) of each vertex using a hash table and a linear layer.

Avatar Optimization. Given the trainable pose parameters θ , we need to deform the canonical avatars to the target pose and render the images. For the mesh avatar *MA*, we treat each vertex as a point and apply linear blend skinning to deform the avatar. Specifically, for each vertex v_i in **V**, the deformed vertex v_i^o in the observation space is defined as:

$$v_i^o = Tv_i, T = LBS(v_i, \theta) = \sum_{k=1}^K W_k(v_i)B_k(\theta),$$
(1)

where *T* represents the transformation matrix, *K* denotes the number of rigid bones, W_k and B_k are the learnable skinning weights and bone transformation based on θ of the *k*-th bone, respectively. To deform the GS avatar, we first apply linear blend skinning as the mesh avatar, *i.e.*, $\mu'_i = T\mu_i$ and $r^o_i = \tilde{T}r_i$, where \tilde{T} represents the rotation matrix in *T*. Then, we adopt two linear layers to predict the deformed positions of Gaussians: $\mu^o_i = \mu'_i + MLP_o(\mu'_i)$.

We then render the GS avatar using Gaussian rasterization [Huang et al. 2024] and the mesh avatar using Nvdiffrast [Laine et al. 2020]. We adopt \mathcal{L}_1 term and the D-SSIM term [Lei et al. 2024] between the rendered images of two avatars and the target images.

GS-guided Reconstruction for Mesh Avatar. To provide geometric information for the mesh avatar, during training, we leverage the GS avatar to constrain the geometry of the mesh avatar in the canonical

Table 1: Quantitative comparison on SnapshotPeople dataset.

	male-3-casual				female-3-casual			
	Gaussians↓	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	Gaussians↓	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓
GART (10 images)	46.1 K	28.48	0.9680	0.0345	36.8 K	24.15	0.9536	0.0497
Ours (10 images)	24.0 K	28.67	0.9674	0.0346	19.5 K	24.83	0.9569	0.0481
GART (20 images)	46.4 K	30.21	0.9766	0.0334	38.8 K	25.25	0.9609	0.0454
Ours (20 images)	24.4 K	30.03	0.9748	0.0319	21.0 K	25.50	0.9614	0.0432
	male-4-casual				female-4-casual			
	Gaussians↓	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	Gaussians↓	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓
GART (10 images)	Gaussians↓ 39.5 K	PSNR↑ 26.53	SSIM↑ 0.9581	LPIPS↓ 0.0535	Gaussians↓ 44.1 K	PSNR↑ 27.83	SSIM↑ 0.9643	LPIPS↓ 0.0327
GART (10 images) Ours (10 images)	Gaussians↓ 39.5 K 22.6 K	PSNR↑ 26.53 26.60	SSIM↑ 0.9581 0.9577	LPIPS↓ 0.0535 0.0506	Gaussians↓ 44.1 K 22.8 K	PSNR↑ 27.83 28.06	SSIM↑ 0.9643 0.9650	LPIPS↓ 0.0327 0.0329
GART (10 images) Ours (10 images) GART (20 images)	Gaussians↓ 39.5 K 22.6 K 41.6 K	PSNR↑ 26.53 26.60 27.00	SSIM↑ 0.9581 0.9577 0.9639	LPIPS↓ 0.0535 0.0506 0.0552	Gaussians↓ 44.1 K 22.8 K 44.1 K	PSNR↑ 27.83 28.06 28.76	SSIM↑ 0.9643 0.9650 0.9708	LPIPS↓ 0.0327 0.0329 0.0323

space. Specifically, we sample 50, 000 points V_s from mesh avatar, and minimize the chamfer distance between V_s and the positions μ of all Gaussians in GS avatar.

Mesh-guided Refinement for GS Avatar. To reduce artifacts in invisible regions in the reconstructed GS avatar, we adopt a simple but effective way to refine the GS avatar using the mesh avatar. We use a \mathcal{L}_1 regularization to make all pixels of the GS-rendered images similar to those of the mesh-rendered images in the canonical space, which can inpaint the invisible regions according to the mesh avatar.

3 Results

To evaluate the performance of DualAvatar, we conduct experiments on PeopleSnapshot dataset [Alldieck et al. 2018], which contains various monocular videos of a person rotating in an "A" pose. We use different numbers of images as training data to show the experimental results compared to GART [Lei et al. 2024]. As shown in Table 1, our method achieves superior performance across most metrics with half the Gaussian number. As shown in Figure 1 our model not only generates realistic images but also effectively render unseen pose results using only 20 images as training data. Moreover, our GS avatar can inpaint invisible regions under the guidance of the mesh avatar (highlighted in the yellow boxes). For dynamic results, please refer to the supplementary video.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (62122058 and 62171317), the Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars of Tianjin (No. 22JCJQJC00040), and JSPS Grant-in-Aid JP23K16921, Japan.

References

- Thiemo Alldieck, Marcus Magnor, Weipeng Xu, Christian Theobalt, and Gerard Pons-Moll. 2018. Video based reconstruction of 3d people models. In Proc. CVPR. 8387– 8397.
- Binbin Huang, Zehao Yu, Anpei Chen, Andreas Geiger, and Shenghua Gao. 2024. 2D gaussian splatting for geometrically accurate radiance fields. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.17888 (2024).
- Bernhard Kerbl, Georgios Kopanas, Thomas Leimkühler, and George Drettakis. 2023. 3D Gaussian Splatting for Real-Time Radiance Field Rendering. ACM Trans. Graph. 42, 4 (July 2023).
- Samuli Laine, Janne Hellsten, Tero Karras, Yeongho Seol, Jaakko Lehtinen, and Timo Aila. 2020. Modular Primitives for High-Performance Differentiable Rendering. ACM Trans. Graph. 39, 6 (2020).
- Jiahui Lei, Yufu Wang, Georgios Pavlakos, Lingjie Liu, and Kostas Daniilidis. 2024. GART: Gaussian articulated template models. In *Proc. CVPR*. 19876–19887.
- Tianchang Shen, Jun Gao, Kangxue Yin, Ming-Yu Liu, and Sanja Fidler. 2021. Deep marching tetrahedra: a hybrid representation for high-resolution 3D shape synthesis. Proc. NeurIPS 34 (2021), 6087–6101.